Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 113-3 Filed: 02/04/19 Page: 1 of 7 PageID #: 1399

JEROME BAUMGARTNER 12/19/2018

Page 1

	- rage r
1	IN MUE INTMED CHAMEC DICHDICH COURT
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
2	EASTERN DIVISION
3	
4	
5	
6	MALEEHA AHMAD, et al.,)
7	Plaintiffs,) Cause No.
8	vs.) 4:17-cv-2455-CDP
9	CITY OF ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI,
10	Defendant.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	DEPOSITION OF JEROME BAUMGARTNER Taken on behalf of the Plaintiff
16	December 19th, 2018
17	
18	
19	Jamie Jo Kinder, CCR 842, CSR 084.003306
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case: 4:17-cv-02455-CDP Doc. #: 113-3 Filed: 02/04/19 Page: 2 of 7 PageID #: 1400

JEROME BAUMGARTNER 12/19/2018

Page 2

		Page 2
1	INDEX	
2		Page
3	EXAMINATION	
4	QUESTIONS BY MS. STEFFAN	5
5	QUESTIONS BY MS. DUNCAN	42
6	QUESTIONS BY MS. STEFFAN	42
7	EXHIBITS	
8	Baumgartner Deposition Exhibit 1	13
9	Baumgartner Deposition Exhibit 2	29
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15	(Exhibit retained by the Re	eporter.)
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
24		
25		

Page 3

	rage 3
1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION
	EASIERN DIVISION
3	
4	MALEEHA AHMAD, et al.,)
5	Plaintiffs,) Cause No.
6	vs.) 4:17-cv-2455-CDP
7	CITY OF ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI,
8	Defendant.)
9	
10	DEPOSITION OF WITNESS, JEROME BAUMGARTNER,
11	produced, sworn, and examined on the 19th day of December,
12	2018, between the hours of 1:22 o'clock in the afternoon
13	and 2:31 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, at St. Louis
14	City Hall, 1200 Market, Room 314, St. Louis, MO, before
15	Jamie Jo Kinder, Missouri CCR 842, Illinois CSR 084-00306,
16	a Certified Court Reporter within and for the State of
17	Missouri, in a certain cause now pending before the United
18	States District Court, Eastern District of Missouri,
19	Eastern Division, wherein MALEEHA AHMAD, et al., are the
20	Plaintiffs, and CITY OF ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI is the
21	Defendant.
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 4

	raye 1
1	A P P E A R A N C E S
2	For the Plaintiffs:
3	Ms. Jessie Steffan
4	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION OF MISSOURI 906 Olive Street, Suite 1130
5	St. Louis, MO 63101 (314) 669-3420 arothert@aclu-mo.org
6	
7	
8	For the Defendant:
9	Ms. Abby Duncan
10	St. Louis City Counselor's Office 1200 Market, City Hall, Room 314 St. Louis, MO 63103
11	, and the second
12	
13	The Court Reporter:
14	Ms. Jamie Jo Kinder, CCR, CSR ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
15 16	711 North Eleventh Street St. Louis, MO 63101 (800) 280-3376
	www.alaris.us
17	transcripts@alarislitigation.com
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 5

1	(Deposition commenced at 1:22 p.m.)
2	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between
3	counsel for Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendant, that the
4	deposition of JEROME BAUMGARTNER may be taken in shorthand
5	by Jamie Jo Kinder, CCR, CSR, a notary public and shorthand
6	reporter, and afterwards transcribed into typewriting; and
7	the signature of the witness is expressly reserved.
8	* * * *
9	JEROME BAUMGARTNER,
10	of lawful age, being produced, sworn and examined on
11	behalf of the Plaintiffs, deposes and says:
12	EXAMINATION
13	QUESTIONS BY MS. STEFFAN:
14	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Baumgartner.
15	A Hello.
16	Q I introduced myself earlier, but I'm Jessie
17	Steffan. I'm one of the plaintiffs' attorneys, which is
18	Ahmad versus the City of St. Louis. I'm going to ask you
19	to state and spell your name for the record?
20	A Jerome, J-E-R-O-M-E, Baumgartner,
21	B-A-U-M-G-A-R-T-N-E-R.
22	Q Have you been deposed previously?
23	A For this case?
24	Q For any case.
25	A Yes.

Page 43

	r age 10
1	an
	an
2	A There was text in the e-mail.
3	Q Do you recall what it said?
4	A Not clearly enough to be able to state it
5	here.
6	Q Do you know how long the text of the e-mail
7	was?
8	MS. DUNCAN: Object as to vague. Subject to
9	that, you can answer.
10	A A few sentences.
11	Q (By Ms. Steffan) Do you recall when that was
12	disseminated through e-mail?
13	A I don't.
14	Q Did you read it at that time?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Did you do anything differently in your work
17	as a result of having read that preliminary injunction?
18	A No.
19	Q Do you think the injunction was applicable to
20	your office's work?
21	MS. DUNCAN: I'm going to object as to vague.
22	Subject to that, you can answer.
23	A Applicable in a sense that the subject area
24	content may come back to us for some type of policy work
25	eventually.
	-
i .	

Page 44

1	Q (By Ms. Steffan) Did you discuss the
2	preliminary injunction with anyone after you received it
3	from the chief via e-mail?
4	A No.
5	Q You didn't propose any special order
6	amendments or other policy changes as a result of the
7	preliminary injunction; is that correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q To your knowledge, did anyone in your office
10	propose any changes to policies or special orders as a
11	result of the preliminary injunction from the Ahmad case?
12	A No.
13	Q To your knowledge, they did not?
14	A To my knowledge, they did not.
15	MS. STEFFAN: That's all I have.
16	MS. DUNCAN: I have no questions. Sir, you
17	can either waive your signature or read, which entails
18	waiting until the transcript is written out and then you
19	can look over it and make sure all of your answers are
20	correct. If there is any typos, you need to correct or you
21	can waive your signature. In this case, I would suggest
22	that you read it.
23	THE WITNESS: I will read it.
24	MS. STEFFAN: A PDF, that's all I need.
25	MS. DUNCAN: Etrans with the exhibits.